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## Phenomenological Importance

- $B-\bar{B}$-mixing allows us to determine CKM matrix elements
- Dominant contribution in SM: box diagram with top quarks

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left|V_{t d}^{*} V_{t b}\right| \text { for } B_{d}-\text { mixing } \\
\left|V_{t s}^{*} V_{t b}\right| \text { for } B_{s}-\text { mixing }
\end{array}\right\} \Delta m_{q}=\frac{G_{F}^{2} m_{W}^{2}}{6 \pi^{2}} \eta_{B} S_{0} m_{B_{q}} f_{B_{q}}^{2} B_{B_{q}}\left|V_{t q}^{*} V_{t b}\right|^{2}
$$

- Non-perturbative contribution: $f_{q}^{2} B_{B q}$
- Define the $S U(3)$ breaking ratio $\xi^{2}=f_{B_{s}}^{2} B_{B_{s}} / f_{B_{d}}^{2} B_{B_{d}}$

- CKM matrix elements are extracted by

$$
\frac{\Delta m_{s}}{\Delta m_{d}}=\frac{m_{B_{s}}}{m_{B_{d}}} \xi^{2} \frac{\left|V_{t s}\right|^{2}}{\left|V_{t d}\right|^{2}}
$$



## $B$-meson mixing and the Unitarity Triangle

- The apex of the unitarity triangle is constrained by the ratio of $B_{s}$ to $B_{d}$ oscillation frequencies $\left(\Delta m_{q}\right)$
- $\Delta m_{q}$ is experimentally measured to better than a percent [BABAR, Belle, CDF]
- Dominant error comes from the uncertainty on the lattice QCD calculation of the ratio $\xi$ ( $\sim 3 \%$ )
- A precise determination is needed to help constrain physics beyond the Standard Model



## Unitarity Fit without Semileptonic Decays

- A unitarity fit without $V_{u b}$ or $V_{c b}$ is possible [Lunghi and Soni]
- Avoids 1-2 $\sigma$ tension between inclusive and exclusive determinations of both $V_{u b}$ and $V_{c b}$
- Requires precise determination of $f_{B}$ (and also of $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$ and $\Delta M_{s}$ )



## Lattice Calculations of $B$-meson mixing Parameters


• RBC/UKQCD $2010 \mid$
• HPQCD 2009
• FNAL-MILC 2008

$\xi$

- HPQCD and FNAL-MILC result both based on the asqtad-improved staggered ensembles generated by MILC
- RBC/UKQCD result only exploratory study computed on $16^{3}$ lattices and using static approximation for the $b$-quarks
- This project aims for an independent cross-check at high precision using domain-wall light-quarks and relativistic heavy quarks performing also precision computations of decay constants and $B^{*} B \pi$ coupling
- Project started 2009/10 and we ask for time to continue in 2010/11


## Light Quark and Gluon Action

- Domain-wall fermions for the light quarks (u,d, s) [Kaplan 1992, Shamir 1993]
- Five dimensional formulation with an approximate chiral symmetry
- Left-handed modes are bound to 4-d brane at $s=0$, right-handed modes to a 4-d brane at $s=L_{s}-1$
- Overlap exponentially suppressed
- Renormalization simplified due to reduced operator mixing

- Iwasaki gauge action [Iwasaki 1983]
- Improves chiral symmetry and reduces residual quark mass when combined with domain-wall sea quarks [Y. Aoki et al. 2004]


## Relativistic Heavy Quark Action for the $b$-Quarks

- Relativistic Heavy Quark action developed by Christ, Li, and Lin for the $b$-quarks in 2-point and 3-point correlation functions
- Builds upon Fermilab approach [El Khadra, Kronfeld, Mackenzie] by tuning all parameters of the clover action non-perturbatively
- Matching of lattice action to continuum through $\mathcal{O}(p a)$
- Errors will be of $\mathcal{O}\left(a^{2} p^{2}\right)$
- Heavy quark is mass treated to all orders in $m_{b} a$ $\Rightarrow$ coefficient of the $\mathcal{O}\left(a^{2} p^{2}\right)$ error is a function of $m_{b} a$
- This function is bounded to be $\leq \mathcal{O}(1)$ [El Khadra, Kronfeld, Mackenzie]
- Heavy-light spectrum quantities can be computed with discretization errors of the same order as in light-light quantities
$B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$ mixing matrix element calculation

- Location of four-quark operator is fixed
- Location of $B$-mesons is varied over all possible time slices
- Need: one point-source light quark and one point-source heavy quark originating form operator location
- Propagators can be used for $B$ - and $\bar{B}$-meson
- Project out zero-momentum component using a Gaussian sink


## Operator Improvement and Matching

- Rotate $b$-quark at the source to reduce discretization errors in the heavy-light current and the four-fermion operator
- Compute rotation parameter $d_{1}$ at tree-level in tadpole-improved lattice PT (improving operator to $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s} a p\right)$ )
- Renormalization factors for matching of lattice operators to continuum operator are computed using 1-loop tadpole-improved lattice PT (truncation errors $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s} a p\right)$ )
- Only one other operator at $\mathcal{O}\left(1 / m_{b}\right)$ mixes with desired operator (at this order)
- For ratio $\xi$ much of the perturbative truncation error should cancel Phenomenologically most important quantity should be most reliable


## Status of Computation and Preliminary Results

- First focused at generating domain-wall light quark propagator: $24^{3}(a \approx 0.11 \mathrm{fm})$ and one ensemble on $32^{3}(a \approx 0.08 \mathrm{fm})$ completed
- Now working on computation of 2-point and 3-point functions
- Point-Point correlators on $24^{3}$ ensembles
- Significantly improved plateau quality over exploratory study on $16^{3}$ (static heavy quarks)




## Importance of $B^{*} B \pi$ Coupling

- Largest systematic uncertainty in many lattice calculations of $B$-meson quantities is chiral and continuum extrapolation
- Reduce systematic error with improved determination of the $B^{*} B \pi$ coupling
- $B^{*} B \pi$ coupling is defined from the matrix element of the light quark axial vector current between $B$ and $B^{*}$ states
- Determines the leading interaction in the chiral Lagrangian for heavy-light $B$-mesons
- Enters $\chi P T$ expressions as coefficient of chiral logarithms for the ratio of $B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$ mixing matrix elements or form factors of semileptonic decays $B \rightarrow \pi$ and $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}$
- But, phenomenologically only poorly known; uncertainty of $\mathcal{O}(40 \%)$


## Computing $g_{B^{*} B \pi}$



- Reuse the computationally expensive domain-wall light quark propagators for contraction with newly generated sequential propagators
- Result can be non-perturbatively renormalized; the nonperturbative renormalization factor for axial current $\left(Z_{A}\right)$ is known for domain-wall fermions


## Proposed Generation of DWF Light Quark Propagators

|  |  |  |  |  | \# configs <br> \# configs <br> 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | $m_{l}$ | $m_{s}$ | $m_{v a l}^{\text {dwf }}$ | $2010 / 11$ |  |  |
| $\approx 0.08$ | 32 | 0.004 | 0.030 | $0.004,0.006,0.008,0.025,0.030$ | 300 | 300 |
| $\approx 0.08$ | 32 | 0.006 | 0.030 | $0.004,0.006,0.008,0.025,0.030$ | 450 | 450 |
| $\approx 0.08$ | 32 | 0.008 | 0.030 | $0.004,0.006,0.008,0.025,0.030$ | 300 | 300 |
| $\approx 0.11$ | 24 | 0.005 | 0.040 | $0.005,0.01,0.02,0.03,0.04$ | 800 | 800 |
| $\approx 0.11$ | 24 | 0.010 | 0.040 | $0.005,0.01,0.02,0.03,0.04$ | 850 | 850 |
| $\approx 0.11$ | 24 | 0.020 | 0.040 | $0.005,0.01,0.02,0.03,0.04$ | 350 | 350 |

Proposed Generation of Sequential DWF Propagators

| $\approx 0.08$ | 32 | 0.004 | 0.030 | 0.004 | 600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\approx 0.08$ | 32 | 0.006 | 0.030 | 0.006 | 900 |
| $\approx 0.08$ | 32 | 0.008 | 0.030 | 0.008 | 600 |
| $\approx 0.11$ | 24 | 0.005 | 0.040 | 0.005 | 1600 |
| $\approx 0.11$ | 24 | 0.010 | 0.040 | 0.01 | 1700 |
| $\approx 0.11$ | 24 | 0.020 | 0.040 | 0.02 | 700 |

$32^{3} a=0.08 \mathrm{fm}$ domain-wall propagators
$24^{3} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ domain-wall propagators
$32^{3} a=0.08 \mathrm{fm}$ clover propagators
$24^{3} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ clover propagators
$32^{3} a=0.08 \mathrm{fm}$ sequential domain wall propagators
$24^{3} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ sequential domain wall propagators 2 -point and 3 -point correlators, code testing and analysis

Total

| $32^{3} a=0.08 \mathrm{fm}$ domain-wall propagators | $3.063 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24^{3} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ domain-wall propagators | $1.322 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |
| $32^{3} a=0.08 \mathrm{fm}$ clover propagators | $0.076 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |
| $24^{3} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ clover propagators | $0.092 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |
| $32^{3} a=0.08 \mathrm{fm}$ sequential domain wall propagators | $1.709 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |
| $24^{3} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ sequential domain wall propagators | $1.162 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |
| 2-point and 3-point correlators, <br> code testing and analysis | $0.742 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |
| Total | $8.166 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{jpsi}$ core-hours |

- Majority of time devoted to domain-wall propagator generation
- All domain-wall propagators are saved on tape
- Preference to continue running on Fermilab clusters
- Would like to retain rights to use these propagators for $D$-meson decay constants and beyond the Standard Model contributions to $B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$ mixing


## Conclusion

- This project aims for a precise determination of neutral $B$-meson mixing parameters, decay constants and the $B^{*} B \pi$ coupling
- Using $2+1$ flavor dynamical domain-wall light quarks
- Nonperturbatively tuned relativistic heavy quarks
- Computation uses two lattice spacings, multiple quark masses, and heavy-meson chiral perturbation theory
- Fulfills one of the key goals in flavor physics of USQCD [2007 white paper]
- Result will place an important constraint in the quark flavor sector when used in unitarity triangle analysis


## Webpage

```
http://quark.phy.bnl.gov/~witzel/ (temporary)
http://rbc.phys.columbia.edu/USQCD/ (future)
```


## Question from the SPC:

[T]his project will lead to determinations of $\xi$, decay constants and bag parameters using different quark actions than in the past, thus providing valuable cross checks of lattice results. That being said, it would be useful to the SPC to see an estimate of what the final error budget would look like and how that compares with what is already available in the literature.

## Tentative Error Budget for $\xi$

|  | FNAL-MILC | HPQCD | DWF+RHQ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| statistics | $3.1 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $\lesssim 3 \%$ |
| chiral extrapolation | $2.1 \%$ |  | $\sim 2 \%$ |
| uncertainty in $g_{B^{*} B \pi}$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $\lesssim 1 \%$ |
| renormalization factors <br> scale and quark mass uncertainties <br> finite volume error | $<0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $\lesssim 2 \%$ |
| relativistic correction <br> (heavy-quark) discretization | $<0.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $\lesssim 1 \%$ |
| total | $0.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $\lesssim 0.5 \%$ |

- Conservative estimate based on comparison with static result and the work of other collaborations

